UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

United States of America,	Criminal No. 05-81165
Plaintiff,	Hon. Sean Cox United States District Judge
VS.	
Khaophone Sychantha,	
Defendant/	

MOTION TO WITHDRAW

Now comes David I. Lee, defense counsel, and hereby moves to withdraw from the instant matter and in support of this motion states as follows:

- Defendant is charged with Conspiracy to Possess with Intent to Distribute and Distribute a Controlled Substance contrary to 21 U.S.C. Sections 846 and 841 et seq., and three counts of Possession with Intent to Distribute a Controlled Substance contrary to 21 U.S.C. Section 841 et seq.
- 2. Defense counsel was appointed to represent defendant on May 12, 2023. Since that time, defense counsel has read and listened to discovery, conducted many meetings with defendant (mainly at the Sanilac County Jail where he has been housed), participated in discussions with the United States Attorney's office and attended all court proceedings and conferences.
- 3. Defense counsel believed that he and defendant enjoyed a very good attorney/client relationship, specifically in the months of July, August and September of this year. Counsel was preparing for a jury trial in this matter and was beginning to put together a "trial team" and researching pre-trial motions.
- 4. In early October, for reasons unknown to defense counsel, the attorney-client relationship began to deteriorate. Defendant is obsessed with the notion that defense counsel should be challenging his extradition from Canada and interacting

somehow with the Canadian Embassy in this matter. In fact, he will discuss nothing

else with counsel. When it is pointed out to defendant that there are a myriad of

more pressing and important issues to address in this matter, he begins to berate

defense counsel. He has, on several occasions, suggested that counsel is

somehow "in league" with the government and not representing him.

5. Defendant has made it clear to defense counsel that he no longer wishes to be

represented by him. Despite counsel's best efforts, defendant refuses to listen to

basic concepts regarding federal guidelines, criminal procedure, motion practice,

and other important concepts essential for counsel to effectively represent him.

6. In summary, it is impossible to conduct a rational discussion regarding the instant

matter with defendant.

7. Defense counsel believes that a breakdown of the attorney/client relationship has

occurred and that it would be better for all concerned if defense counsel be allowed

to withdraw from the instant matter.

Therefore, defense counsel prays that this honorable court grant this motion and allow

new counsel to be appointed for defendant.

Respectfully submitted,

/S/ David I. Lee

David I. Lee (P39305) 615 Griswold, Ste. 722 Detroit, MI 48226

(248)755-3842

davidileelaw@yahoo.com

Dated: November 1, 2023

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

United States of America,	
Plaintil	ff,
-VS-	Case No. 05-81165
	Hon. Sean Cox
Khaophone Sychantha,	
Defendant	
	1

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO ALLOW COUNSEL TO WITHDRAW

Counsel was appointed to represent defendant on May 12, 2023. Since that time, counsel believes that he has done everything possible to obtain the best results under defendant's difficult circumstances. Defendant is a man with little understanding of the federal criminal justice system, and is now attempting to instruct counsel on how he should be represented. Counsel cannot represent defendant effectively in such a hostile environment. Counsel no longer wishes to be subjected to tirades, demands for frivolous motions to be filed and defendant's absurd concerns that are irrelevant to these proceedings. Rule 57.1 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan provides:

(a) An attorney, whether retained or appointed, who enters a post-indictment appearance shall continue to represent the defendant until the case is dismissed, the defendant is acquitted, or the direct appeal is completed unless the attorney is granted leave to withdraw by the District Court or the Court of Appeals if notice of appeal has been filed.

(b) An attorney who has appeared in a criminal case may thereafter withdraw only

by written motion served upon the defendant personally or at the defendant's last-known

address and upon all other parties. The Court may deny a motion to withdraw if the

attorney's withdrawal would unduly delay trial of the case, or be unfairly prejudicial to any

party, or otherwise in the interest of justice.

(c) The United States Attorney shall advise the Clerk and the District Judge to

whom the case is assigned regarding any change in the attorney for the United States

responsible for the prosecution.

In the instant matter, allowing counsel to withdraw will not unduly delay this matter

nor will it be prejudicial to any party. In fact, counsel believes by allowing his withdrawal

a new attorney will be able to represent defendant more effectively and all parties will be

best served by the appointment of new counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David I. Lee

DAVID I. LEE P39305

615 Griswold, Suite 722

Detroit, MI 48226 (248)755-3842

davidilee@yahoo.com

Dated: November 1, 2023